

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	RST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/772,843	02/04/2004	Conor Cahill	AOL0095	6050	
22862 GLENN PATI	7590 09/02/200 FNT GROUP	EXAMINER			
3475 EDISON	WAY, SUITE L	LE, CANH			
MENLO PAR	K, CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2439	2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2009	EL ECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eptomatters@glenn-law.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,843		CAHILL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CANH LE	2439		
	CANFILE	2439		

	CANH LE	2439						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Operiods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f)							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is the date for purposes of the purposes of the set of the value of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	e of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contains the contains a final rejection.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	octed claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by the proposed amendment of the proposed amend</li></ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-11. 13-25</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing								
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but Please, See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
(Christian LaFornia)								
/Christian LaForgia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439								
	,	00						

The amended limitations in claim 9 ["said owner comprising a shared point of access for said service providers", "wherein trust is established with a user at said shared point of access for purposes of authentication and authorization, even if said point of access does not shared common authentication requirements, by the virtue of said affiliation between said service providers at said point of access"] and new added claim 27 would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or thorough search.